Dear Mr. Rowland, February 24th, 2009

I am an ecological systems designer, and the author of three books on greywater.

It seems that the main stated argument against California greywater standards following the lead of Arizona, New Mexico and Texas into the 21st century is public health concern. But...

- 1) Greywater has hundreds of times fewer pathogens than combined sewage. Logically, greywater systems could be hundreds of times less effective at sequestering pathogens from people and still be no more dangerous than septic or sewer systems. (average of values from calculations, U of AZ study--see http://oasisdesign.net/greywater/law/california/index.htm#references for complete list of citations and calculations)
- 2) The past several decades of greywater prohibition have inadvertently resulted in the construction of a rather large number of unpermitted systems. The quantity of those systems is vast (eight million in the US, 1.7 million in California) and the experience long term, going back to the founding of the country. (Soap and Detergent Manufacturer's Association Graywater Awareness and Usage Study, a nationally representative sample of 61,377 households; 13.9% of which were using greywater in CA, the highest proportion of any state).

This has in effect served as a large-scale, long term, and fairly conclusive experiment on the epidemiological danger from unregulated greywater reuse.

There have been approximately a **billion greywater system-user-years of exposure in the US** since 1950, plus exposure to guests and neighbors. If one greywater user in 100,000 got sick and mentioned why, there would be 10,000 incidents on record.

In fact, there is no record of a single documented instance of greywater-transmitted illness in the US, according to the CDC. (By comparison, approximately 20,000 people were struck by lightning over the same time period).

It is certain that greywater risk is non-zero. It is possible that the risk from the average greywater system could be low enough to be unnoticeable in the background risk, yet still be of concern in the aggregate.

However, with such a vast quantity of systems, there must be outlier systems that are several standard deviations riskier than the average that still number in the thousands. If even these have escaped notice, the implication is that the inherent risk must be very low indeed. (One unfortunate Californian has been struck by lightning on seven occasions. That there is no analog for greywater incidents is quite instructive).

Of the 12 illnesses identified by WERF as potentially greywater-transmittable, 9 are reported to the CDC by legal mandate. Reportable illnesses have been tracked by all levels of our public health system since 1925. This serves as a more tightly run subset of the general greywater experiment. There are over 100,000 instances of these 9 reportable sicknesses, per year, or several million total. If greywater were a significant transmission path, tens of thousands of alarms in the reportable illness system would have put public health officials on the track decades ago.

The absence of reports of greywater-transmitted illness fits with the simple logic of point 1, and lends support to the Arizona/ New Mexico/ Texas regulatory approach. This holds that permits and inspections are not necessary for simple greywater systems (the people of California seem to agree: only one system in eight thousand is permitted).

## Unless HCD can:

- A) Prove that greywater systems are dangerous, in light of a billion system-user-years of real-world experience to the contrary
- B) Prove that tight regulation (which deters licensed professionals but not homeowners) is better for public health than realistic guidelines that professionals would follow to improve the state's stock of systems
- C) Produce a risk assessment that shows that in a world which may be out of usable water within our lifetimes, rigorous permitting of greywater systems is a priority use of regulatory and citizen resources

please shift from the failed UPC-style approach to the state-of-the-art Arizona/ New Mexico/ Texas tiered approach to greywater regulation.

A slightly improved version of the Arizona code that is a suitable starting point for new California tier 1 standards can be found at: <a href="http://www.oasisdesign.net/greywater/law/#model">http://www.oasisdesign.net/greywater/law/#model</a>.

Sincerely,

Art Ludwig

Ecological Designer

California Greywater Policy Data and Calculations
Feb 24, 2009. Check http://oasisdesign.net/greywater/law/california/index.htm#references for updates to this spreadsheet.

	Treck http://oasisdesign.ner/greywater/law/calliornia/		·	UDI					
Datum	What	Date	Source	URL, comment					
Greywater system exposure in California  36,553,215 Population of caliornia  2007 US census bureau http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html									
1 ' '	•	2007 1999	US census bureau	http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html					
	Households with greywater systems			http://www.sdascience.org/docs/Graywater_Habits_&_Pract					
1 ' '	Greywater users	2009 2000	Calculation; population * percent greywater users	extrapolation from 1999					
	People per household	2000	US census bureau	http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html					
1,770,347	Greywater systems		Calculation; greywater users / people per household	(this assumes the proportion of greywater use has not change the proportion of greywater use the greywater use the proportion of greywater use the greywater use th					
System user ye			is a back of the envelope-type calculation; the point is still valid if it is off by a factor of two or four						
	Greywater users	2009	from above						
	Households with greywater systems	1950	Estimate; in general, older infrastructure has more greywate						
	Population of caliornia	1950	US Census Bureau	www.census.gov/dmd/www/resapport/states/california.pdf					
	Greywater users	1950	Calculation; population * percent greywater users						
	Average number of greywater users	1949-2009	average of 2009 and 1950 greywater users						
	Years from 1949-2009		calculation						
184,185,576	System-user-years of greywater exposure, not cour	nting neighbo	caculation; average greywater users * years						
Greywater syst	em exposure in United States								
303,824,640	Population of US	2008	CIA estimate	https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/p					
7.0%	Households with greywater systems	1999	Soap and Detergent Manufacturer's Association Graywater	http://www.sdascience.org/index.php?option=com_content&					
21,267,725	Greywater users	2009	Calculation; population * percent greywater users	extrapolation from 1999					
2.59	People per household	2000	US census bureau	http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/06000.html					
8,211,477	Greywater systems	2009	Calculation; greywater users / people per household	extrapolation from 1999					
System user years-US  Note: This is a back of the envelope-type calculation; the point is still valid if it is off by a factor of two or four									
	Greywater users	2009	from above	ild if it is on by a factor of two of four					
	Households with greywater systems	1950	Estimate; in general, older infrastructure has more greywate	er use, approaching 100% with rural 70+ year old buildings					
	Population of US	1950	NPG historical data	http://www.npg.org/facts/us_historical_pops.htm					
	Historic greywater users	1950	Calculation; population * percent greywater users	mappopoan					
	Average number of greywater users	1949-2009							
	Years from 1949-2009	.0.0 _000	calculation						
	System-user-years of greywater exposure, not cour	ntina neiahba							
			,						
	water-transmitted illness in US  Reports of greywater-transmitted illness		18 years of greywater policy discussion, Letter from CDC						
	People struck by lightning in the US, per year	2008	NOAA lightening safety	http://www.lightningsafety.noaa.gov/medical.htm					
	People drowned in bathtubs	2005	National saftey council	http://www.nsc.org/research/odds.aspx					
	<del></del>	2003	ivational saftey council	http://www.nsc.org/research/odds.aspx					
	em permit compliance rate in California								
	Greywater systems	2009	from above, extrapolation from 1999	(this assumes the proportion of greywater use has not change					
	Permitted greywater systems	1992-2009		s, 5± Art Ludwig, 2±rest are a guess. I'd say lower bound is					
	Ratio of unpermitted to permitted systems		calculation						
0.011%	Percent of permitted systems		calculation						
Reportable GW Diseases, Potential & Reported Total Cases									
	Disease	in 2007	Est. 60 Years Cumulative Cases	Cases Linked to Graywater					
ſ	Cholera	7							
	Cryptosporidiosis	11,170		-					
	E. coli, Shiga toxin-producing (STEC)	4,847	·	o l					
	Giardiasis	19,417	•	0					
	Hepatitis A	2,979	·						
	Legionellosis	2,716	· ·	0					
	Salmonellosis	47,995	· ·	0					
	Shigellosis	19,758		0					
	Vibriosis (non-cholera Vibrio species infections) §	447	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ő					
	Totals	123, <del>713</del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>					
L		,,	1,020,000	J.					